

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MARVIN RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

H022878

(Monterey County

Super. Ct. No. SS002379)

Defendant Marvin Rodriguez appeals from a judgment of conviction entered after a court trial. The court found him guilty of possession of a deadly weapon by a prisoner (Pen. Code, § 4502, subd. (a)). The trial court also found true the allegation that he had suffered a prior conviction within the meaning of Penal Code section 1170.12, subdivision (c)(1). The trial court imposed a six-year term in state prison. We appointed counsel to represent defendant in this court.

Appointed counsel filed an opening brief which states the case and the facts but raises no specific issues. We notified defendant of his right to submit written argument in his own behalf within 30 days. Defendant has submitted a letter brief in which he contends that he received the ineffective assistance of counsel. He contends: trial counsel failed to call a witness on his behalf, did not have the weapons checked for fingerprints, did not pursue inconsistencies in one witness's recollection of events, and did not adequately investigate the case.

Pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, we have reviewed the entire record and have concluded that there is no arguable issue on appeal.

The judgment is affirmed.

Mihara, J.

We concur:

Premo, Acting P.J.

Elia, J.